University of Zurich[UZH]

ROBOTICS & PERCEPTION GROUP

Vision Algorithms for Mobile Robotics

Lecture 04
Image Filtering

Davide Scaramuzza / Leonard Bauersfeld

https://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch

Today's Outline

- Low-pass filtering
  - Linear filters
  - Non-linear filters
- Edge Detection
  - Canny edge detector

Image filtering

- The word *filter* comes from frequency-domain processing, where “filtering” refers to the process of accepting or rejecting certain frequency components
- We distinguish between low-pass and high-pass filtering
  - A **low-pass filter** smooths an image (retains low-frequency components)
  - A **high-pass filter** retains the contours (also called edges) of an image (high frequency)

Low-pass filtered image

High-pass filtered image

Today's Outline

- Low-pass filtering
  - Linear filters
  - Non-linear filters
- Edge Detection
  - Canny edge detector

Low-pass filtering applied to noise reduction

- **Salt and pepper noise**: random occurrences of black and white pixels
- **Impulse noise:** random occurrences of white pixels
- **Gaussian noise**: variations in intensity drawn from a Gaussian distribution

Salt and pepper noise and **Impulse noise** are caused by
- data transmission errors,
- failure in memory cell, or
- analog-to-digital converter errors.

Gaussian noise is caused by
- quantization error,
- pixel imperfections in the detection of photons (especially in low light),
- thermal noise

Original

Salt and pepper noise

Impulse noise

Gaussian noise

Example: Noise in a black picture

- Take a “black” image, e.g. cover the camera
- Only noise is recorded
- Even modern sensors have noise
- Today’s lecture is very relevant in practice!



Additive Independent and Identically Distributed Gaussian noise

It is Independent and Identically Distributed (I.I.D.) noise drawn from a zero-mean Gaussian distribution:

$$\eta(x, y) \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma)$$

$$I(x, y) = I'(x, y) + \eta(x, y)$$

Ideal image Noise



How can we reduce the noise to recover the “ideal image”?

Moving average

- **Replaces each pixel** with an **average** of all the values in its neighborhood
- **Assumptions**:
  - Expect **noise process to be i.i.d. Gausian**
  - Expect **pixels to be like** their **neighbors**

Moving average

- **Replaces each pixel** with an **average** of all the values in its neighborhood
- Moving average in 1D:



Weighted Moving Average

- Can add weights to our moving average
- **Uniform weights:** [1, 1, 1, 1, 1] / 5



Weighted Moving Average

- **Non-uniform weights:** [1, 4, 6, 4, 1] / 16



This operation is called *convolution*

- Example of convolution between two signals
  - One of the sequences is flipped (right to left) before sliding over the other
  - Notation: $a * b$
  - Nice properties: linearity, associativity, commutativity, etc.



This operation is called *convolution*

- Example of convolution between two signals
  - One of the sequences is flipped (right to left) before sliding over the other
  - Notation: $a * b$
  - Nice properties: linearity, associativity, commutativity, etc.



2D Filtering via 2D Convolution

- Flip the filter in both dimensions (top to bottom, right to left) (=180 deg turn)
- Then slide the filter over the image and compute sum of products

$$I'[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} I[x-u, y-v] H[u,v]$$



180 deg turn



$$I' = I * H$$

- Convolution **replaces each pixel with a weighted sum of its neighbors**
- The **filter H** is also called "**kernel**" or "**mask**"

Review: Convolution vs. Cross-correlation

Convolution: $I' = I * H$

- Properties: **linearity, associativity, commutativity**

$$I'[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} I[x-u, y-v]H[u,v]$$

For a Gaussian or box filter, will the output of convolution and correlation be different?

Cross-correlation: $I' = I \otimes H$

Properties: linearity, but **no associativity** and **no commutativity**

$$I'[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} I[x+u, y+v]H[u,v]$$

Box Filter

Input image

$I[x, y]$

Filtered image

$I'[x, y]$

"box filter"

"box filter" $\frac{1}{9}$

1/9	1/9	1/9
1/9	1/9	1/9
1/9	1/9	1/9



1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0								

Box Filter

Input image

$I[x, y]$

Filtered image

$I'[x, y]$

“box filter”

$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$



0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	10							

Box Filter

Input image

$I[x, y]$

Filtered image

$I'[x, y]$

“box filter”

$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$



0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	10	20						

Box Filter

Input image

$I[x, y]$

Filtered image

$I'[x, y]$

“box filter”

$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$



0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	10	20	30					

Box Filter

Input image

$I[x, y]$

Filtered image

$I'[x, y]$

“box filter”

$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$



0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	10	20	30	30				

Box Filter

Input image

$I[x, y]$

Filtered image

$I'[x, y]$

"box filter"

$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$
$1/9$	$1/9$	$1/9$



0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	10	20	30	30	30	20	10	
	0	20	40	60	60	60	40	20	
	0	30	60	90	90	90	60	30	
	0	30	50	80	80	90	60	30	
	0	30	50	80	80	90	60	30	
	0	20	30	50	50	60	40	20	
	10	20	30	30	30	30	20	10	
	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	

Box Filter



Box filter:
white = max value, black = zero value

original



filtered

Gaussian Filter

What if we want **center pixels** to have **higher influence on the output**?

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$I[x, y]$

$$\frac{1}{16}$$

1	2	1
2	4	2
1	2	1

$H[u, v]$

This kernel is the approximation of a Gaussian function:

$$H[u, v] \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{u^2+v^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Gaussian Filter





Comparison with Box Filter





This “web”-like effect is called aliasing and is caused by the high frequency components of the box filter

Separable Filters

- **Box filter:**

$$\frac{1}{9}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix}1\\ 1\\ 1\end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

- **Gaussian filter:**

$$\frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 2 & 1\\ 2 & 4 & 2\\ 1 & 2 & 1\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix}1\\ 2\\ 1\end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 2 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

- **Sobel filter:**

$$\begin{bmatrix}-1 & 0 & 1\\ -2 & 0 & 2\\ -1 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1\\ 2\\ 1\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}-1 & 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

Separable Filters

- A convolution with a 2D filter of $w \times w$ pixel size requires w^2 **multiply-add operations per pixel**
- 2D convolution can be sped up if the filter is **separable**, i.e., can be written as the product of two 1D filters (i.e., $H = v \cdot h^{\mathrm{T}}$): first perform a 1D horizontal convolution with h followed by a 1D vertical convolution with v:

$$I' = I * H = (I * h^{\mathrm{T}}) * v$$

- Separable filters require only $\boldsymbol{2w}$ **multiply-add operations per pixel**
- **Box filters and Gaussian filters are separable**

not asked at exam

Point Spread Function

- Convolution of kernel f with Dirac Signal δ returns the convolution kernel itself

$$\delta * f = f$$

- In image processing, think of Dirac function as a very bright, small light source (a point)
- However, “filtering” also happens during image formation.
  - Recall lecture on image formation
  - Narrow aperture blurs image
- Point-Spread Function (PSF) describes how a point is rendered, e.g. the kernel of physical image formation process



not asked at exam

Point Spread Function

Can we express the blurring related to *small* apertures as a convolution?

Yes! The blur is described by a convolution with the Bessel-Function





Gaussian Filter

What parameters matter?

- **Size** of the kernel
- NB: a Gaussian function has **infinite support**, but discrete filters use finite kernels



σ = 5 pixels
with 10×10 pixel kernel



σ = 5 pixels
with 30×30 pixel kernel

Which one approximates better the ideal Gaussian filter, the left or the right one?

Gaussian Filter

What parameters matter?

- **Variance** of Gaussian: controls the amount of smoothing
- Recall: standard deviation = σ [pixels], variance = σ^2 [pixels^2]



σ = 2 pixels
with 30×30 pixel kernel



σ = 5 pixels
with 30×30 pixel kernel

Gaussian Filter

σ is called "**scale**" of the Gaussian kernel, and **controls the amount of smoothing**.



Sample Matlab code

```
>> hsize = 20;
>> sigma = 5;
>> h = fspecial('gaussian', hsize, sigma);
```

```
>> mesh(h);
```



```
>> imagesc(h);
```



```
>> im = imread('panda.jpg');
>> outim = imfilter(im, h);
>> imshow(outim);
```

im

outim

Boundary issues

- What about near the image edges?
  - the filter window falls off the edges of the image
  - need to pad the image borders
  - methods:



Boundary issues

- What about near the image edges?
  - the filter window falls off the edges of the image
  - need to pad the image borders
  - methods:
    - zero padding (black)

Boundary issues

- What about near the image edges?
  - the filter window falls off the edges of the image
  - need to pad the image borders
  - methods:
    - zero padding (black)
    - wrap around

Boundary issues

- What about near the image edges?
  - the filter window falls off the edges of the image
  - need to pad the image borders
  - methods:
    - zero padding (black)
    - wrap around
    - copy edge

Boundary issues

- What about near the image edges?
  - the filter window falls off the edges of the image
  - need to pad the image borders
  - methods:
    - zero padding (black)
    - wrap around
    - copy edge
    - reflect across edge

Summary on (linear) smoothing filters

- Smoothing filter
  - **removes "high-frequency"** components; "low-pass" filter
  - has **positive values** (also called coefficients)
  - **sums to 1** → preserve brightness of constant regions

Today's Outline

- Low-pass filtering
  - Linear filters
  - Non-linear filters
- Edge Detection
  - Canny edge detector

Effect of smoothing filters

Linear smoothing filters do not alleviate salt and pepper noise!

Median Filter

- It is a **non-linear filter**
- **Removes spikes**:
  good for "*impulse noise*"
  and "*salt & pepper noise*"



Median Filter

- It is a **non-linear filter**
- **Removes spikes**:
  good for "*impulse noise*"
  and "*salt & pepper noise*"

Salt and pepper noise →



← Median filtered





Plots of one row of the image

Median Filter

- It is a **non-linear filter**

- **Removes spikes**:
  good for "*impulse noise*"
  and "*salt & pepper noise*"

- Differently from linear filters,
  it **preserves strong edges**.

- But

  - it's **less effective than Gaussian filters** with Gaussian noise,
  - **fine textures** or **small details** can be **lost** or distorted by the median filter.



Gaussian vs. Median Filter

- **Gaussian filters do not preserve strong egdes (discontinuites)**. This is because they apply the same kernel everywhere.
- **Median filters** do **preserve strong edges but don't smooth** as good as Gaussian filters with **Gaussian noise** and remove small details.



Gaussian filter

Bilateral Filter

- **Bilateral filters** solve this by adapting the kernel locally to the intensity profile, so they are **patch-content dependent**
- Bilateral filters only **smooth pixels with brightness similar to the center pixel** and ignore **influence of pixels with different brightness across the discontinuity**



Bilateral filter

Bilateral Filter

1D Gaussian range component

spatial component

$$I'[x,y] = \frac{1}{W_p[x,y]} \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} I[x-u, y-v] G_{\sigma_r}(I[x-u, y-v] - I[x,y]) G_{\sigma_s}[u,v]$$



smoothed image

=

$\frac{1}{W_p[x,y]}$ normalization factor

· input image

adaptive filter

$$W_p[x,y] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} G_{\sigma_r}(I[x-u, y-v] - I[x,y]) G_{\sigma_s}[u,v]$$

Normalization factor
(so that the filter values sum to 1)

Bilateral Filter



Today's Outline

- Low-pass filtering
  - Linear filters
  - Non-linear filters
- Edge Detection
  - Canny edge detector

Edge Detection

- Goal: to find the boundaries (edges) of objects within images



Edge Detection

- Edges look like steep cliffs in the $I(x,y)$ function

Original image $I(x, y)$



Image plotted as $I(x, y)$ function

Derivatives and Edges

- An edge is a place of fast change in the image intensity function



Differentiation and Convolution

- For a continuous function $I(x, y)$ the partial derivative along x is:

$$\frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial x} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{I(x+\varepsilon, y) - I(x,y)}{\varepsilon}$$

- For a discrete function, we can use **adjacent or central** finite differences:

$$\frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{I(x+1,y) - I(x,y)}{1} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{I(x+1,y) - I(x-1,y)}{2}$$

What would be the respective filters along x and y to implement the partial derivatives as a convolution?

Partial Derivatives using Adjacent Differences

NB: Derivative filters must always sum to 0 to get no response in constant brightness regions

$$\frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial x}$$

-1	1



$$\frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial y}$$

-1
1



Partial Derivatives using Central Differences

Prewitt filter $G_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $G_y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Sobel filter $G_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $G_y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Sample Matlab code

```
>> im = imread('lion.jpg');
>> h = fspecial('sobel');
>> outim = imfilter(double(im), h);
>> imagesc(outim);
>> colormap gray;
```

Image Gradient

- The **image gradient**: $\nabla \mathrm{I} = \left[\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\right]$

- The gradient points in the **direction of steepest ascent**:



$\nabla \mathrm{I} = \left[\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}, 0\right]$

$\nabla \mathrm{I} = \left[0, \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\right]$



$\nabla \mathrm{I} = \left[\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\right]$

- The **gradient direction** (perpendicular to the edge) is given by: $\theta = atan2\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}\right)$

- The **edge strength** is given by the gradient magnitude $\|\nabla I\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\right)^2}$

Effects of Noise

- Consider a single row or column of the image

$I(x)$



$\frac{\partial I(x)}{\partial x}$



Where is the edge?

Solution: smooth first

I

H

$I * H$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(I * H)$



Location of edges: **look for peaks** in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(I * H)$

Alternative: combine derivative and smoothing filter

- Differentiation property of convolution: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(I * H) = I * \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$

I

$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$

$I * \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$



Derivative of Gaussian filter G along x

$$(I * G) * H = I * (G * H)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.0030 & 0.0133 & 0.0219 & 0.0133 & 0.0030 \\ 0.0133 & 0.0596 & 0.0983 & 0.0596 & 0.0133 \\ 0.0219 & 0.0983 & 0.1621 & 0.0983 & 0.0219 \\ 0.0133 & 0.0596 & 0.0983 & 0.0596 & 0.0133 \\ 0.0030 & 0.0133 & 0.0219 & 0.0133 & 0.0030 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Derivative of Gaussian Filters



x-direction



y-direction





Laplacian of Gaussian

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(I * H) = I * \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2}$$

$I(x)$

$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2}$

$I * \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2}$



Location of edges: look for **Zero-crossings** of $I * \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2}$

Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG)

- The Laplacian of Gaussian is a circularly symmetric filter defined as:

$$\nabla^2 G_\sigma = \frac{\partial^2 G_\sigma}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 G_\sigma}{\partial y^2}$$

∇^2 is the Laplacian operator: $\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$



- Two commonly used approximations of LoG filter:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -8 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Convolving an Image with $\nabla^2 G_\sigma$

Example: Convolving an Image with $\nabla^2 G_\sigma$

- 



sigma = 2

Example: Convolving an Image with $\nabla^2 G_\sigma$

- 



sigma = 3.1296

Example: Convolving an Image with $\nabla^2 G_\sigma$

- 

sigma = 4.8972

Summary on Linear Filters

- Smoothing filter
  - **removes "high-frequency"** components; "low-pass" filter
  - has **positive values** (also called coefficients)
  - **sums to 1** → preserve brightness of constant regions

- Derivative filter:
  - **highlights "high-frequency"** components: "high-pass" filter
  - **has opposite signs** used to get high response in regions of high contrast
  - **sums to 0** → no response in constant regions

Today's Outline

- Low-pass filtering
  - Linear filters
  - Non-linear filters
- Edge Detection
  - Canny edge detector

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

Despite invented in 1986, the Canny edge detector is still the most popular edge detection algorithm today

This image is called **Lenna image** and was a standard benchmark in edge detection and image processing: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lenna

Canny, J., A Computational Approach To Edge Detection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, (T-PAMI), 1986. PDF.

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

1. **Take a grayscale image**. If RGB, convert it into a grayscale $I(x, y)$ by replacing each pixel by the average value of its R, G, B components.

Canny, J., A Computational Approach To Edge Detection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, (T-PAMI), 1986. PDF.

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

2. **Convolve the image** I with x and y derivatives of Gaussian filter and compute the edge strength $\|\nabla I\|$

$\frac{\partial G_\sigma}{\partial x}$ 

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = I * \frac{\partial G_\sigma}{\partial x}$$

$\frac{\partial G_\sigma}{\partial y}$ 

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} = I * \frac{\partial G_\sigma}{\partial y}$$

Edge strength: $\|\nabla I\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}\right)^2} \rightarrow$

Canny, J., A Computational Approach To Edge Detection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, (T-PAMI), 1986. PDF.

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

3. **Thresholding**: set to 0 all pixels of $\|\nabla I\|$ whose value is below a given threshold

Thresholded $\|\nabla I\|$ $\rightarrow$

Canny, J., A Computational Approach To Edge Detection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, (T-PAMI), 1986. PDF.

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

4. **Thinning**: look for local-maxima in the edge strength in the direction of the gradient



Thresholded $\|\nabla I\| \rightarrow$

Canny, J., A Computational Approach To Edge Detection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, (T-PAMI), 1986. PDF.

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

4. **Thinning**: look for local-maxima in the edge strength in the direction of the gradient

Edge image: each pixel that is a local maximum of the edge strength in the direction of gradient is set to 1

Canny, J., A Computational Approach To Edge Detection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, (T-PAMI), 1986. PDF.

The Canny Edge-Detection Algorithm (1986)

What parameters can we tune to remove high frequency details?



Today: Deep Learning-based Edge Detection

Supervised learning from human annotations

HED[1]**:** *CNN-based* Detector in 2015

- **>30% better** performance
- less computation than Canny



EDTER[2]**:** *State-of-the-art* approach

- Fine edges detection using *Transformer* model
- Integration with **global information**



[1] Xie et al., *Holistically-Nested Edge Detection*, International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2015. PDF.
[2] Pu et al., *EDTER: Edge Detection with Transformer*, Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2022. PDF.

Summary (things to remember)

- Image filtering (definition, motivation, applications)
- Moving average
- Linear filters and formulation: box filter, Gaussian filter
- Boundary issues
- Non-linear filters
- Median & bilateral filters
- Edge detection
- Derivating filters (Prewitt, Sobel)
- Combined derivative and smoothing filters (deriv. of Gaussian)
- Laplacian of Gaussian
- Canny edge detector

Readings

- Ch. 3.2, 3.3, 7.2.1 of Szeliski book, 2nd Edition

Understanding Check

Are you able to:

- Explain the differences between convolution and cross-correlation?
- Explain the differences between a box filter and a Gaussian filter?
- Explain why one should increase the size of the kernel of a Gaussian filter if 2σ is close to the size of the kernel?
- Explain when we would need a median & bilateral filter?
- Explain how to handle boundary issues?
- Explain the working principle of edge detection with a $1\mathrm{D}$ signal?
- Explain how noise does affect this procedure?
- Explain the differential property of convolution?
- Show how to compute the first derivative of an image intensity function along x and y?
- Explain why the Laplacian of Gaussian operator is useful?
- List the properties of smoothing and derivative filters?
- Illustrate the Canny edge detection algorithm?
- Explain what non-maxima suppression is and how it is implemented?