Event-based Algorithms for Robust and High-speed Robotics

Davide Scaramuzza

All my research on event-based vision is summarized on this page:

http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/research_dvs.html
My Dream Robot

Agile, lightweight drones rapidly navigating to accomplish a given task

- **Challenges**: fast, lightweight, agile (*low-latency perception & control*)

Video credit: LEXUS commercial, 2013
Our Research Areas

Visual-Inertial State Estimation (SVO)
[IJCV’11, PAMI’13, RSS’15, TRO’16-17]

Vision-based Navigation of Flying Robots
[ICRA’10, AURO’12, RAM’14, JFR’15]

Deep Learning for End-to-End Navigation
[RAL’16-17]

Low-latency vision for Aggressive Flight
[IROS’3, ICRA’14, RSS’15, BMVC’16, RAL’17]
The Challenge of Vision Controlled Drones

Current flight maneuvers achieved with onboard cameras are still too slow compared with those attainable by birds or FPV pilots.

A sparrowhawk catching a garden bird (National Geographic)
To go faster, we need faster sensors! [IROS’13]

- The agility of a robot is limited by the latency and temporal discretization of its sensing pipeline.

- The average robot-vision algorithms have latencies of 50-200 ms, which puts a hard bound on the agility of the platform.

- Event cameras enable **low-latency sensory motor control (<< 1ms)**

A. Censi, J. Strubel, C. Brandli, T. Delbruck, D. Scaramuzza,
Low-latency localization by Active LED Markers tracking using a Dynamic Vision Sensor, IROS’13
Event-based, 6-DOF Pose Tracking from Line-based Maps

Mueggler, Huber, Scaramuzza,

IROS’14
Quadrotor Flip (1,200 deg/s) [IROS’14, RSS’15]

Mueggler, Huber, Scaramuzza,
"Event-based, 6-DOF Pose Tracking for High-Speed Maneuvers”, IROS’14

Video: http://youtu.be/LauQ6LWTkxM
Event-based 6-DOF pose Tracking

- Optimization-based (minimizes reprojection error)
- Assumption: line-based maps

\[
P^* = \arg\min_P \sum_{l=1}^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|d(\pi(L_l, P), e_{l,i})\|^2
\]

Mueggler, Huber, Scaramuzza,
"Event-based, 6-DOF Pose Tracking for High-Speed Maneuvers", IROS’14
Event-based, Pose Tracking from High-Contrast Scenes

Censi, Scaramuzza

ICRA’14
Pose Estimation from from High Contrast Scenes [ICRA’14]

3 DOF Tracking (planar motion)
• Planar motion & known map
• Recursive estimation
• Measurement model:
  \[ P(e) \propto |\langle \nabla I, \hat{u}\Delta t \rangle| \]

Censi, Scaramuzza,
Low-Latency Event-Based Visual Odometry, ICRA’14
Event-based, 6-DOF Pose Tracking from Photometric Depth Maps

Gallego, Lund, Mueggler, Rebecq, Delbruck, Scaramuzza

ArXiv, 2016
submitted to PAMI

[Gallego et al., Event-based, 6-DOF Camera Tracking for High-Speed Applications, Arxiv’16]
Problem statement

- Given a **photometric depth map**, track the 6-DOF **pose** of the DVS event by event

- How to get a photometric depth map?
  - Dense reconstruction based on standard cameras (DTAM, REMODE)
  - RGB-D cameras
  - Reference frames plus depth
Methodology

- **Probabilistic** approach (Bayesian filter): 
  \[ p(s|e) = p(e|s)p(s) \]
  Posterior Likelihood Prior

- **State vector**: \( s = (R, T, C, \sigma_C, \rho) \)
  - pose \((R, T)\),
  - contrast mean value \( C \)
  - uncertainty \( \sigma_C \),
  - inlier ratio \( \rho \)

- **Motion model**: **random walk**

- **Robust sensor model** (likelihood)
  - **Measurement function** derived from generative event model:
    \[ \log I(t) - \log I(t - \Delta t) = C \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(e|s) = \frac{\Delta \log I}{C} - 1 \]
  - **Mixture model**: heavy-tail Gaussian distribution (i.e., Gaussian + Uniform):
    \[ p(e|s) = \rho N(M(e|s), 0, \sigma) + (1 - \rho) U(M_{\text{min}}, M_{\text{max}}) \]
Results: high-speed motion

[Gallego et al., Event-based, 6-DOF Camera Tracking for High-Speed Applications, Arxiv’16]

Video: https://youtu.be/iZZ77F-hwzs
EVO:
A Geometric Approach to Event-based 6-DOF Parallel Tracking and Mapping in Real-time

Rebecq, Horstschäfer, Gallego, Scaramuzza

IEEE Robotics & Automation Letters, 01/2017 (presented at ICRA’17)

EU Patent 2017

Parallel Tracking and Mapping

How the 3D mapping works

An event camera reacts to strong gradients in the scene.
Areas of high ray-density likely indicate the presence of 3D structures.
How the 3D mapping works

- Ray-density: Disparity Space Image (DSI)
- Projective sampling grid (DSI) + adaptive thresholding

Non-uniform, projective grid, centered on a reference viewpoint

240 x 180 x 100 voxels

“EMVS: Event-based Multi-View Stereo”, Rebecq, Gallego, Scaramuzza, BMVC’16, Best Industry Paper Award
How the tracking works

Global image alignment through 6 DOF warp $W$

$$W(u; T) := \pi(T \cdot \pi^{-1}(u, d_u))$$

Rigid-body transformation $T$ minimizes alignment error:

$$T = \arg \min_u \sum_u \left( M(u) - I(W(u; T)) \right)^2$$

Results
High-Speed tracking

Video: https://youtu.be/bYqD2qZJlxE

EVO: Multi-Keyframe Scene

Video: https://youtu.be/bYqD2qZJlxE

Observed and reprojected events
Intensity reconstruction from events
Frame of a standard camera plus events
Light On and OFF Experiment

Video: https://youtu.be/bYqD2qZJlxE

Observed and reprojected events

Intensity reconstruction from events

Frame of a standard camera plus events
EVO Robustness to High-Dynamic Range Scenes

Video: https://youtu.be/bYqD2qZJlxE

3D Mapping from a Train

Video: https://youtu.be/fA4MiSzYHWA
Summary of EVO

- Very **simple** to implement

- Works even in **high-speed** and **HDR** scenes, where standard cameras fail

- **Real-time** even on a **smartphone CPUs (Odroid XU4)**!

- **Intensity reconstruction** not needed but available

- **Come and see our live demo!**
Continuous-Time Visual-Inertial Trajectory Estimation with Event Cameras

Mueggler, Gallego, Rebecq, Scaramuzza

ArXiv, 2017
submitted to TRO

[Mueggler, Gallego, Rebecq, Scaramuzza: Continuous-Time Visual-Inertial Trajectory Estimation with Event Cameras, under review, on arXiv, submitted to TRO’17]
Continuous-Time Trajectory Estimation [RSS’15, Arxiv’17]

- Event stream is asynchronous and high-frequency (almost continuous)
- A single event is ambiguous and does not constrain a pose

[Mueggler, Gallego, Rebecq, Scaramuzza: Continuous-Time Visual-Inertial Trajectory Estimation with Event Cameras, under review, on arXiv, submitted to TRO’17]
Continuous-Time Trajectory Estimation [RSS’15, Arxiv’17]

➢ Estimate trajectory instead of discrete poses: \( T_1, T_2, T_3, \ldots \rightarrow T(t) \)

➢ Advantages

  • Pose (and its derivatives) is well-defined at any time
  • Can handle asynchronous, high-frequency data naturally

➢ Spline Fusion [Lovegrove, IJCV’15]

  • Trajectory is represented with B-splines
  • Cumulative basis functions on SE(3), free from singularities:

\[
T_{w,s}(u(t)) = T_{w,i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \exp \left( \widetilde{B}_j(u(t)) \Omega_{i+j-1} \right) \\
\Omega_q = \log(T_{w,q-1}^{-1}T_{w,q})
\]
Find control poses $T_{w,i}^*$ and parameters $\theta^*$ (map scale, gravity alignment, and IMU biases) such that reprojection error of all events and the inertial residuals is minimized:

$$\{T_{w,i}^*, \theta^*\} = \arg\min_{T,\theta} \sum_k \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} \|e_k - \hat{e}_k(x(t_k), \mathcal{M})\|^2$$

$$+ \sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \|\omega_i - \hat{\omega}_i(x(t_i))\|^2 + \sum_j \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2} \|a_j - \hat{a}_j(x(t_j))\|^2$$

Few control poses are needed: 1 control pose per 10,000 events
Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Position error (abs. [cm] and rel. [%])</th>
<th>Orientation error [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\mu)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVO (ev.+abs. scale)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spline (ev.+abs. scale)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spline (ev.+IMU+abs. scale)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spline (ev.+IMU)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative errors are given with respect to the mean scene depth.
Real-time Visual-Inertial Odometry for Event Cameras using Keyframe-based Nonlinear Optimization

Rebecq, Horstschäfer, Scaramuzza

submitted to BMVC’17
Visual-Inertial Fusion via Non-linear Optimization

- Fusion solved as a non-linear optimization problem
- Increased accuracy over filtering methods

Forster, Carlone, Dellaert, Scaramuzza, On-Manifold Preintegration for Real-Time Visual-Inertial Odometry, RSS’15, TRO 17

Leutenegger, Lynen, Bosse, Siegwart, Furgale, Keyframe-based visual–inertial odometry using nonlinear optimization, RSS’13, IJRR’15
In the following sequences, we show the trajectory estimated by our pipeline, using the events and IMU only.

The standard camera images are not used, and shown for illustration only.

Computation time per event frame:
8 ms on an i7 Lenovo quadcore CPU and
~30 ms on smartphone CPU (Odroid XU4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>synthesize event frame</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feature detection</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feature tracking</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two-point RANSAC</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add frame to back-end</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wait for back-end</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>total time</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.23</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Come and see our live demo!
Conclusions

Event cameras are revolutionary and open enormous possibilities!

- Robustness to high speed motion and high-dynamic-range scenes
- Standard cameras have been studied for 50 years! → need of a change!
- Challenges: asynchronous & binary output, complete noise & model characterization still missing (e.g., memory effects and other non idealities)

Future: low-latency perception and control via a two-level sensing architecture

- Fast low-latency level: where agile behavior is obtained by a low-latency control action that uses data from fast sensors (e.g., DVS, IMU)

- Slow cognitive level: tasks, such as recognition, mapping, & loop closing, are done based on slower traditional sensors (cameras, lidars)

Event Camera Dataset and Simulator [IJRR’17]

• Publicly available: http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/davis_data.html

• First event camera dataset specifically made for VO and SLAM

• Many diverse scenes: HDR, Indoors, Outdoors, High-speed

• Blender simulator of event cameras

• Includes
  • IMU
  • Frames
  • Events
  • Ground truth from a motion capture system

Mueggler, Rebecq, Gallego, Delbruck, Scaramuzza,
Thanks!

Dr. Guillermo Gallego  
Elias Mueggler  
Henri Rebecq  
Timo Horstschäfer
Resources

- My research on event-based vision:
  
  http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/research_dvs.html

- Event camera dataset and simulator:
  
  http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/davis_data.html

- Lab homepage: http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/

- Other Software & Datasets:
  
  http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/software_datasets.html

- YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/user/ailabRPG/videos

- Publications: http://rpg.ifi.uzh.ch/publications.html